INDEX

	Page
Opinions below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Question presented	2
Statute involved	2
Statement	4
Summary of argument	10
Argument:	
The Commission, in entering its order requiring respondents to cease and desist from further violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, did not exceed its statutory authority by including a prohibition, found to be essential to prevent further violation, directed against conduct not determined by the Commission to be either lawful or unlawful	13
Conclusion	25

CITATIONS

Cases:	
American Chain & Cable Co. v. *United States*, 142 F. 2d 909	24
Arrow-Hart & Hegeman Electric Co. v. *Federal Trade Commission*, 291 U. S. 587	21
Besser Manufacturing Co. v. *United States*, 343 U. S. 444	22, 23
Consumer Sales Corp. v. *Federal Trade Commission*, 198 F. 2d 404, certiorari denied 344 U. S. 912	14, 15
Ethyl Gasoline Corp. v. *United States*, 309 U. S. 436	25
Federal Trade Commission v. *Cement Institute*, 333 U. S. 683	14

402831—56——1